Bridge cleavage reactions of late transition-metal dimers with $[Ph_2P(E)NP(E')Ph_2]^-$ (E = E' = S or Se; E = O or S, E' = S or Se)

Pravat Bhattacharyya, Alexandra M. Z. Slawin and Martin B. Smith *,†

Department of Chemistry, Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leics., UK LE11 3TU



Reaction of the dinuclear complexes $[\{Pd(\mu-Cl)(L-L)\}_2](L-L = C_9H_{12}N \text{ or } C_{12}H_{12}N), [\{Pt(\mu-OMe)-(C_8H_{12}OMe)\}_2], [\{RuCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^6-p-MeC_6H_4Pr^i)\}_2] \text{ or } [\{RhCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)\}_2] \text{ with } K[Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2] (E = S \text{ or } Se) \text{ in thf gave the corresponding bridge cleaved, mononuclear compounds } [Pd(L-L){Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'}], [Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe){Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'}], [RuCl{Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'}], [Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe){Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'}], [RuCl{Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'}], [Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe){Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'}], [RuCl{Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'}], [Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe){Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'}] in high yields (64–97%). Transmetallation of K[Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2] (E = S or Se) with [{Pd(\mu-Cl)(\eta^3-C_3H_5)}_2] in a 1:1 ratio gave [Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5){Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'}]. In contrast, reaction of the potassium salt K[Ph_2P(O)NP(E)Ph_2] (E = S or Se) with [{Pd(\mu-Cl)(\eta^3-C_3H_5)}_2] under analogous conditions gave instead the dimeric species [{Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5)[Ph_2P(O)NP(E)Ph_2-E]}]. All new compounds have been characterised by a combination of multinuclear NMR [¹H, ³¹P-{¹H}, ¹⁹⁵Pt-{¹H}] and IR spectroscopy, elemental analyses and in four cases by single crystal X-ray crystallography. The six-membered ME_2P_2N metallacycles [Pd(C_9H_{12}N){Ph_2P(S)NP(Se)Ph_2-Se,Se'}], [Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe){Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'}] and [Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5){Ph_2P-(S)NP(Se)Ph_2-Se]}_2] is binuclear with a central four-membered Pd_2Se_2 core and two pendant P(O)Ph_2 moieties. The synthesis, characterisation and preliminary complexation studies of a new potassium salt, K[Ph_2P(S)NP(Se)Ph_2], prepared by deprotonation of Ph_2P(S)NHP(Se)Ph_2 with KOBu^t are also described.$

The co-ordination chemistry of Ph₂PNHPPh₂ (dppa) and [R₂P(E)NP(E)R₂]⁻ (E = O, S or Se including some mixed chalcogenide donor atom combinations; R = Ph typically) has attracted widespread attention by ourselves and others.¹⁻³⁷ The anion [R₂P(E)NP(E)R₂]⁻, closely related to acetylacetonate (acac⁻), forms many complexes with a diverse spectrum of metal ions, and moreover, selected complexes have found important applications in areas such as NMR shift reagents,¹¹ selective metal extractants³³ and in catalysis.¹⁰ Indeed, very recently the novel tetranuclear silver(I) cluster [{Ag[Ph₂P(O)-NP(O)Ph₂]}₄]·2EtOH reported by Rudler *et al.*¹⁰ was shown, in preliminary studies, to be a promising catalyst for alkene epoxidation.

Transition-metal complexes of acac and its derivatives have been well studied ^{38,39} with a variety of ligating modes established, yet surprisingly only recently metal complexes containing novel ligand bonding modes for $[R_2P(E)NP(E)R_2]^-$ have been described.⁷⁻¹⁰ Table 1 highlights a selection of ligating modes observed for $[R_2P(E)NP(E)R_2]^-$. Coincidentally four new co-ordination modes were reported in 1997 alone and confirmed unambiguously by X-ray crystallography.⁷⁻¹⁰ A common feature to both classes of ligand is the propensity to adopt a classical *E*,*E'*-chelation mode utilising both chalcogenide donor atoms.^{2-6,11-33}

We are particularly interested in this class of ligand for several reasons. (*i*) Most known metal complexes of $[R_2P(E)NP-(E)R_2]^-$ are homoleptic, *i.e.* $[M\{R_2P(E)NP(E)R_2-E,E'\}_n]$ where n = 2 or $3.^{2-5,11-19,21-24,34}$ In contrast to the wealth of bis and tris chelate complexes documented only a handful of mixed complexes (with ancillary ligands such as chloride or tertiary phosphines) has been reported.^{18,20,26,27,29,31} (*ii*) There are remarkably very few examples of organometallic complexes bearing a chelating $[R_2P(E)NP(E)R_2]^-$ and, of those reported, the majority are with Sn, *e.g.* $[SnR_2\{Ph_2P(E)NP(E')Ph_2-E,E'\}_2]$ (R = Me,

Buⁿ or Ph; E = E' = O or S; E = O, E' = S.^{14,32} Examples incorporating transition metals are restricted to those of Mn, Os, Pt and Au.^{18,26,35,36} (iii) Metal complexes of [R₂P(E)NP- $(E)R_2$ ⁻ display a diverse array of ME₂P₂N chelate ring conformations. Two noteworthy examples are [Mn(CO)₄- $\{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}$ in which the unit cell contains two independent molecules with the MnS₂P₂N metallacycles adopting two different non-planar ring conformations²⁶ and secondly cis-[Pd{Ph₂P(O)NP(Se)Ph₂-O,Se}] in which two disparate PdOSeP₂N ring geometries were present within the same molecule.¹⁸ (iv) The potential of mixed anionic ligands [R₂P(O)N- $P(E)R_2$ ⁻ (E = S or Se) bearing both 'hard' and 'soft' donor centres to engage in new bonding modes (in addition to the orthodox O,E-chelate) has not been fully realised.9,14,18 A related mixed anionic ligand [Ph₂P(O)NPPh₂]⁻ can take on several ligating modes including P,O-chelating, P,O-bridging and *P*-monodentate⁴⁰⁻⁴² but in contrast $[Ph_2P(O)NP(E)Ph_3]^-$ (E = S or Se) has so far been observed to form *O*,*E*-chelate metal complexes. It is for these reasons we have probed the coordination chemistry of this unique class of ligand with a range of late transition-metal fragments.

We report herein the first example of organo-ruthenium(II), -rhodium(III), -palladium(II) and -platinum(II) complexes of $[Ph_2P(E)NP(E')Ph_2]^-$ (E = E' = S or Se; E = O or S, E' = S or Se) with σ -/ π -bonded carbon auxiliary ligands. These are readily accessible via facile bridge cleavage reactions of, in general, the corresponding dichloro-bridged precursors. All new compounds have been characterised by a combination of NMR spectroscopy $[{}^{1}H, {}^{31}P-{}^{1}H], {}^{195}Pt-{}^{1}H]$, IR and elemental analysis. Three crystal structures have been determined: $[Pd(C_9H_{12}N){Ph_2P(Se)NP(Se)Ph_2-Se,Se'}],$ $[Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe) \{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}\]$ and $[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5)\{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}\]$ S,S']. In each case the inorganic (carbon free) six-membered ME₂P₂N metallacycle adopts a familiar pseudo-boat conformation. Our work has also revealed, by X-ray crystallography, a novel binding mode for $[Ph_2P(O)NP(E)Ph_2]^-$ (E = S or Se) as seen in the palladium(II) complex [$Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5)$]Ph₂P(O)NP-

[†] E-Mail: m.b.smith@lboro.ac.uk

Table 1 Classification of bonding motifs in metal complexes of $[R_2P(E)NP(E)R_2]^-$ (for clarity π delocalisation within the EPNPE backbone is not illustrated)

Ligand binding mode $R_2P^{-N} PR_2$ E_{M}^{-E}	Example(s) $[Bi{Ph_2P(O)NP(O)Ph_2}_3]$ $[Ba{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2}_2] \cdot 2dme$ $[M{Ph_2P(Se)NP(Se)Ph_2}_2]$ (M = Sn Ph Zn Cd or Hg)	Donor set O,O S,S Se,Se	Ref. 12 2 4
$\begin{array}{c} R_2 P^{/N} \\ PR_2 \\ E \\ H \\ M \\ M \end{array}$	$[{Au[Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2]}_2]$	<i>S,S</i>	35
R ₂ P ^{-N} PR ₂ M ^{-E} M ^{-E} M	K[Ph ₂ P(S)NP(S)Ph ₂]	<i>S,S</i>	30
$E M^{R_2} N - P(E)R_2$	$[Pd{(PhO)_2P(O)NP(S)(OPh)_2}_2]$	N,S	34
M-N P(E)R ₂	$[Hg(Ph){N[P(O)(OPh)_2]_2}]$	Ν	37
$M < M < E - P \\ M < E - P \\ R_2$	$[Os_3H(CO)_9\{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2\}]$	<i>S</i> , <i>S</i>	8
$E - PR_2$ M N E - PR_2	$[Sm\{\eta^{3}\text{-}N(SePPh_{2})_{2}\}\{\eta^{2}\text{-}N(SePPh_{2})_{2}\}(thf)_{2}]$	N,Se,Se	7
$\begin{array}{c} R_{2}P^{-N} \\ PR_{2} \\ F^{-M} \\ R_{2}P^{-M} \\ R_{2}$	$[{Na[Ph_2P(O)NP(S)Ph_2]} \cdot 2thf \}_2]$	0,5	9
M R ₂ P ^{-N} PR ₂ E _ M ^{-E} _ M	$[{Ag[Ph_2P(O)NP(O)Ph_2]}_4] \cdot 2EtOH$	N,O,O	10
$\begin{array}{c} R_2(E) P \\ N \\ I \\ M \\ M \end{array} \begin{array}{c} R_2 \\ P \\ E \\ I \\ M \\ M \end{array} $	$[{Ag[Ph_2P(O)NP(O)Ph_2]}_4] \cdot 2EtOH$	<i>N,O</i>	10
(RO) ₂ (E)P-N (RO)P-O E	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} & [\{Hg[(RO)_2P(O)NP(O)(OR)OC_6H_4]\}_2] \\ & (R = Ph) \end{split}$	C,N	37
R ₂ P ^N P(E)R ₂ E M M	$[{Pd(\eta^{3}-C_{3}H_{5})[Ph_{2}P(O)NP(E)Ph_{2}]}_{2}]$ (E = S or Se)	Ε	This work

(E)Ph₂-E]₂]. Full details of the synthesis and preliminary reactivity of a new mixed ligand [Ph₂P(S)NP(Se)Ph₂]⁻ are described.

Experimental

General

Unless otherwise stated, manipulations were carried out in air using previously distilled solvents. The potassium salts K[Ph₂-

$$\begin{split} P(E)NP(E)Ph_2]^{25,43} & \text{and } K[Ph_2P(O)NP(E)Ph_2] \ (E=S \ or \ Se),^{18} \\ \text{the metal-(II)/-(III) complexes } [\{Pd(\mu\text{-}Cl)(L-L)\}_2] \ [HL-L= \\ \text{benzyldimethylamine } (C_9H_{13}N) \ or \ dimethyl-1-naphthylamine \\ (C_{12}H_{13}N)],^{44} \ [\{Pt(\mu\text{-}OMe)(C_8H_{12}OMe)\}_2] \ (C_8H_{12}OMe= \\ 8\text{-methoxycyclooct-4-ene-1-yl})^{45} \ \text{and} \ [\{RhCl(\mu\text{-}Cl)(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)\}_2]^{46} \\ \text{were prepared according to established literature methods. The compounds } [\{Pd(\mu\text{-}Cl)(\eta^3\text{-}C_3H_5)\}_2], \ [\{RuCl(\mu\text{-}Cl)(\eta^6\text{-}p\text{-}MeC_6H_4Pr^i)\}_2] \ \text{and} \ KOBu^t \ \text{were obtained commercially from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used without further purification.} \end{split}$$

Infrared spectra were recorded as KBr pellets in the range 4000–220 cm⁻¹ on a Perkin-Elmer System 2000 Fouriertransform spectrometer, ¹H NMR spectra (250 MHz) on a Bruker AC250 FT spectrometer with chemical shifts (δ) in ppm (\pm 0.01) to high frequency of SiMe₄ and coupling constants (*J*) in Hz (\pm 0.1), ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectra (36.2 MHz) on a JEOL FX90Q spectrometer with chemical shifts (δ) in ppm (\pm 0.1) to high frequency of 85% H₃PO₄ and coupling constants (*J*) in Hz (\pm 3) and ¹⁹⁵Pt-{¹H} NMR spectra (53.7 MHz) on a Bruker AC250 FT spectrometer with δ referenced to external H₂PtCl₆ (in D₂O–HCl). All NMR spectra were measured in CDCl₃ unless otherwise stated. Elemental analyses (Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN Elemental Analyzer) were performed by the Loughborough University Analytical Service within the Department of Chemistry.

Precious metal salts were provided on loan by Johnson Matthey plc.

Preparations

[Pd(C₉H₁₂N){Ph₂P(Se)NP(Se)Ph₂-Se,Se'}] **1.** To a solution of [$Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_9H_{12}N)_2$] (0.036 g, 0.0652 mmol) in thf (5 cm³) was added K[Ph₂P(Se)NP(Se)Ph₂] (0.078 g, 0.134 mmol) as a solid in one portion. After stirring for *ca*. 30 min the solution was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted into CH₂Cl₂ (4 cm³) and the solution filtered through a small Celite pad. The volume was reduced to *ca*. 1 cm³ and addition of light petroleum (b.p. 60–80 °C, 25 cm³) followed by slow evaporation of the solvent to about half its original volume gave complex **1**. The solid was collected by suction filtration and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.097 g, 95%.

In a similar manner the following complexes were prepared (yields given in Table 2): $[Pd(C_9H_{12}N)\{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}]$ **2**, $[Pd(C_{12}H_{12}N)\{Ph_2P(Se)NP(Se)Ph_2-Se,Se'\}]$ **3**, $[Pd(C_{12}H_{12}N)\{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}]$ **4**, $[Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe)\{Ph_2P(Se)NP(Se)Ph_2-Se,Se'\}]$ **5**, $[Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe)\{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}]$ **6**, $[RuCl\{Ph_2P(Se)NP(Se)Ph_2-Se,Se'\}(\eta^6-p-MeC_6H_4Pr^i)]$ **7**, $[Ru-Cl\{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}(\eta^6-p-MeC_6H_4Pr^i)]$ **8**, $[RhCl(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)\{Ph_2P(Se)NP(Se)Ph_2-Se,Se'\}]$ **9** and $[RhCl(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)\{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}]$ **10**. Alternatively attempts to prepare the cationic compounds $[RuCl\{Ph_2P(S)NHP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}(\eta^6-p-MeC_6H_4Pr^i)]$ **2**] or $[\{RuCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)\{Ph_2P(S)NHP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}_2]$ and 2 equivalents of $Ph_2P(S)NHP(S)Ph_2$ gave compounds **8** and **10** exclusively.

Slow diffusion of light petroleum (60–80 °C) into a CDCl₃ solution of compound **1** over *ca*. 14 d gave crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography. A CDCl₃–MeOH solution of **6** was allowed to stand for *ca*. 1 d affording crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography.

[Pd(η³-C₃H₅){Ph₂P(Se)NP(Se)Ph₂-Se,Se'}] 11. To a solution of [{Pd(μ-Cl)(η³-C₃H₅)}₂] (0.031 g, 0.0847 mmol) in thf (5 cm³) was added K[Ph₂P(Se)NP(Se)Ph₂] (0.100 g, 0.172 mmol) as a solid in one portion. After stirring for *ca*. 30 min the solution was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted into CH₂Cl₂ (3 cm³) and the solution filtered through a small Celite pad. Reduction of the volume to *ca*. 1 cm³ followed by addition of light petroleum (b.p. 60–80 °C, 15 cm³) gave compound 11. The product was collected by suction filtration. Yield: 0.099 g, 85%.

In a similar manner $[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5){Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'}]$ 12 and the binuclear compounds $[{Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5)[Ph_2P(O)-NP(Se)Ph_2-Se]}_2]$ 13 and $[{Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5)[Ph_2P(O)NP(S)Ph_2-S]}_2]$ 14 were synthesized (yields given in Table 2). Slow diffusion of MeOH into a CH₂Cl₂ solution of compound 12 over *ca*. 10 d gave crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography. A CDCl₃–light petroleum (b.p. 60–80 °C) solution of compound 13 was allowed to stand for *ca*. 3 d affording crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography. **Ph₂P(S)NHP(Se)Ph₂ V.** A mixture of Ph₂P(S)NHPPh₂ (1.151 g, 2.757 mmol) and grey Se (0.305 g, 3.863 mmol) in thf (50 cm³) was stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere for *ca*. 3 h. Unchanged Se was removed by filtration through a Celite pad and the volume concentrated *in vacuo* to *ca*. 5–10 cm³. Addition of light petroleum (b.p. 60–80 °C, 30 cm³) afforded a white solid which was collected by suction filtration. Yield: 1.100 g, 80%.

K[Ph₂P(S)NP(Se)Ph₂] X. Under aerobic conditions, to a suspension of Ph₂P(S)NHP(Se)Ph₂ (0.495 g, 0.997 mmol) in MeOH (25 cm³) was added KOBu^t (0.117 g, 1.043 mmol) as a solid in one portion. The solution was stirred for *ca*. 45 min and the solvent removed to dryness *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.517 g, 97%.

[Pd(C₉H₁₂N){Ph₂P(S)NP(Se)Ph₂-*S*,*Se*}] 15. To a solution of [{Pd(μ -Cl)(C₉H₁₂N)}₂] (0.049 g, 0.089 mmol) in thf (5 cm³) was added K[Ph₂P(S)NP(Se)Ph₂] (0.096 g, 0.180 mmol) as a solid in one portion. After stirring for *ca*. 1 h the yellow solution was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The solid was extracted into CH₂Cl₂ (3 cm³), filtered through a small Celite pad and the volume concentrated to *ca*. 1–2 cm³. Addition of light petroleum (b.p. 60–80 °C, 20 cm³) afforded the product 15 [as a mixture of two isomers 15a and 15b established by ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectroscopy (see Table 3 for data)] which was collected by suction filtration and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.127 g, 97%.

In a similar manner the following compounds were prepared (yields given in Table 2): $[Pd(C_{12}H_{12}N)\{Ph_2P(S)NP(Se)Ph_2-S,Se\}]$ **16** (mixture of two isomers **16a** and **16b**), $[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5)\{Ph_2P(S)NP(Se)Ph_2-S,Se\}]$ **17**, $[RuCl\{Ph_2P(S)NP(Se)Ph_2-S,Se\}(\eta^6-p-MeC_6H_4Pr^i)]$ **18** and $[RhCl(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)\{Ph_2-P(S)NP(Se)Ph_2-S,Se\}]$ **19**.

X-Ray crystallography

The crystal structures of compounds 1, 6, 12 and 13 were obtained using either a Rigaku AFC7S serial diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) and ω scans or a Siemens SMART diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.710$ 37 Å). Details of the crystal data collections and refinements are given in Table 4. For the SMART data, intensities were collected using 0.3 or 0.15° width ω steps accumulating area detector frames spanning a hemisphere of reciprocal space for all structures (data were integrated using the SAINT⁴⁸ program) and for the Rigaku AFC7S data collections by ω scans over a single quadrant of reciprocal space. All data were corrected for Lorentz-polarisation and long-term intensity fluctuations. Absorption effects were corrected on the basis of multiple equivalent reflections (for 6 and 12) or by DIFABS⁴⁹ (for 1 and 13).

Structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares against F (TEXSAN⁵⁰) for data with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ or F^2 (SHELXTL⁴⁷) for all data. A standard SHELXTL weighting scheme was used for compounds 6 and 12 whilst in the case of 1 and 13 the weighting scheme for the Rigaku/TEXSAN was as previously reported.¹⁸ The CHCl₃ solvent molecule in 13 was disordered in two orientations of 60 and 40% for the three chlorine atoms. The C-H proton was idealised with respect to the major occupancy. Hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions ignoring any influence of the metal atoms. All other hydrogen atoms were assigned isotropic displacement parameters and constrained to idealised geometries. Refinements converged to residuals given in Table 4. In both 12 and 13 refinement of the allyl group was ill behaved. All calculations were made with programs of SHELXTL or TEXSAN systems.

CCDC reference number 186/1034.

Results and Discussion

The neutral phosphorus(v) compounds I-IV are readily accessible in either one (or two) simple steps starting from commercially available or readily synthesized Ph2PNHPPh2.18,25,43 Mixed phosphorus-(III)/-(v) compounds bearing a single oxidised phosphorus centre, Ph₂P(E)NHPPh₂, have previously been isolated and moreover, in the case where E = O, a rich and diverse co-ordination chemistry of this ligand has been reported.40-42,51 We have now prepared a new asymmetrical ligand, Ph₂P(S)NHP(Se)Ph₂, closely related to its methylene counterpart $Ph_2P(S)CH_2P(Se)Ph_2$ previously described by Grim and Walton.⁵² Reaction of Ph₂P(S)NHPPh₂⁵³ with grey selenium in thf gave, after separation of unchanged excess of Se and subsequent work-up, Ph₂P(S)NHP(Se)Ph₂ V in 80% yield. The spectroscopic and analytical data for V are given in Tables 2 and 3. The ³¹P resonances were confidently assigned by comparison of the spectral data with those of I and II {δ(P) 55.8 I; 52.7 [¹J(PSe) 793 Hz] II; 57.1, 52.7 [¹J(PSe) 783 Hz] V} and in the ¹H NMR spectrum the NH proton was found at $\delta(H)$ 4.49. Deprotonation of the acidic NH proton under similar conditions^{18,25} to those described for VI-IX gave K[Ph₂P(S)NP(Se)Ph₂] X in addition to small amounts of VI. The spectroscopic and analytical data for X are given in Tables 2 and 3. The ³¹P resonances match well with data reported for VI–IX { $\delta(P)$ 37.7 VI; 28.5 [¹J(PSe) 687 Hz] VII; 35.3, 13.9, ²*J*(P₈P₀) 3.3 Hz VIII; 23.6 [¹*J*(PSe) 664 Hz], 12.9, ²J(P_{se}P_o) 2.2 Hz IX; 37.6, 26.7 [¹J(PSe) 682 Hz], ²J(P_sP_{se}) 6.8 Hz X $.^{18,25}$



Chelate and bridging complexes of [Ph₂P(E)NP(E')Ph₂]⁻

Transmetallation of the potassium salts VI and VII with the organometallic metal-(II) or -(III) precursors $[{Pd(\mu-Cl)(L-L)}_2]$ $(L-L = C_9H_{12}N \text{ or } C_{12}H_{12}N), [{Pt(\mu-OMe)(C_8H_{12}OMe)}_2],$ $[\{RuCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^{6}\text{-}p\text{-}MeC_{6}H_{4}Pr^{i})\}_{2}] \quad \text{ or } \quad [\{RhCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^{5}\text{-}C_{5}\text{-}H_{4}Pr^{i})\}_{2}]$ Me_5] in either tetrahydrofuran or methanol gave the following series of mononuclear complexes: [Pd(L-L){Ph2P(E)-NP(E)Ph₂-E,E'] [C₉H₁₂N, E = Se 1 or S 2; C₁₂H₁₂N, E = Se 3 or S 4], $[Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe)\{Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'\}]$ (E = Se 5 or S 6), [RuCl{Ph₂P(E)NP(E)Ph₂-E,E'}(η^6 -p-MeC₆H₄Prⁱ)] (E = Se 7 or S 8) and $[RhCl(\eta^5-C_5Me_5){Ph_2P(E)NP(E)Ph_2-E,E'}]$ (E = Se 9 or S 10) (Scheme 1). Reactions were complete within ca. 30 min and all complexes were isolated from CH₂Cl₂-light petroleum (b.p. 60-80 °C). The compounds 1-10 were air stable both in the solid state and in solution. Complex 6 containing the anionic nitrogen backbone ligand [Ph₂P(S)NP(S)Ph₂]⁻ is akin to the known neutral complex $[Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe)]{Ph_2}$ -P(S)CHP(S)Ph₂-S,S'}] A prepared from Ph₂P(S)CH₂P(S)Ph₂ and $[{Pt(\mu-Cl)(C_8H_{12}OMe)}_2]$ in acetone with Na[BF4].⁵³ Recently Valderrama *et al.*⁵⁵ reported some interesting methylene deprotonation reactions in complexes of the type [MCl- $(\eta^2-L)(ring)]^+$ [ring = C₅Me₅, C₆Me₆ or C₁₀H₁₄; M = Ru^{II}, Rh^{III} or Ir^{III} ; $L = Ph_2P(E)CH(R)P(E)Ph_2$ (R = H or Me; E = S or Se)] giving novel complexes B containing an anionic C,E,E'tridentate ligand. In attempts to synthesize the cations [RuCl- $\{Ph_2P(S)NHP(S)Ph_2-S,S'\}(\eta^6-p-MeC_6H_4Pr^i)]Cl and [RhCl(\eta^5 C_5Me_5$ {Ph₂P(S)NHP(S)Ph₂-S,S' }]Cl from [{RuCl(μ -Cl)(η^6 -p- $MeC_6H_4Pr^i)$] or [{RhCl(μ -Cl)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)}] and 2 equivalents of I we were able only to isolate the deprotonated compounds 8 and 10 respectively in moderate to good yields. The reaction of $[{Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_9H_{12}N)}_2]$ with I in CDCl₃ gave a single com-

Table 2	Microanalytical	data (calcula	ated values	are given	in paren-
theses) a	nd yields for com	pounds V, X	and 1–19		

	37.11	Analysis (%)			
Compound	(%)	С	Н	N	
V	80	58.15 (58.05)	4.25 (4.25)	2.85 (2.80)	
X	97	53.35 (53.90)	3.55 (3.80)	2.75 (2.60)	
1	95	49.95 (50.60)	3.95 (4.15)	3.70 (3.60)	
2	90	56.95 (57.50)	4.50 (4.70)	3.75 (4.05)	
3	97	51.45 (52.80)	3.70 (3.95)	2.95 (3.40)	
4	90	59.30 (59.60)	3.85 (4.45)	3.10 (3.85)	
5	92	44.85 (45.20)	3.95 (4.05)	1.60 (1.60)	
6	95	50.45 (50.65)	4.40 (4.50)	1.80 (1.80)	
7	89	49.90 (50.20)	3.90 (4.20)	1.10 (1.70)	
8	64	56.45 (56.75)	4.55 (4.75)	1.15 (1.95)	
9	80	49.90 (50.05)	4.10 (4.35)	1.00 (1.70)	
10	77	56.00 (56.55)	4.70 (4.90)	2.05 (1.95)	
11	85	46.80 (47.10)	3.55 (3.50)	2.20 (2.05)	
12	94	53.75 (54.50)	4.05 (4.05)	2.20 (2.35)	
13	78	51.10 (51.80)	3.80 (3.85)	2.60 (2.25)	
14	85	55.65 (56.00)	4.05 (4.20)	1.95 (2.40)	
15	97	53.80 (53.85)	4.45 (4.40)	3.60 (3.80)	
16	93	55.70 (56.00)	4.00 (4.20)	3.90 (3.65)	
17	84	49.95 (50.45)	3.80 (3.95)	2.05 (2.20)	
18	91	50.30 (53.30)	4.15 (4.50)	1.40 (1.85)	
19	79	52.55 (53.10)	4.30 (4.60)	1.70 (1.80)	



Scheme 1 Reactions carried out in thf: (*i*) [{Pd(μ -Cl)(L–L)}₂] (L–L = C₉H₁₂N or C₁₂H₁₂N), (*ii*) [{Pt(μ -OMe)(C₈H₁₂OMe)}₂], (*iii*) [{RuCl-(μ -Cl)(η^6 -*p*-MeC₆H₄Prⁱ)}₂], (*iv*) [{RhCl(μ -Cl)(η^5 -C₅Me₅)}₂]



pound, identified by ${}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ NMR as the homoleptic bis species $[Pd{Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'}_2]^{23}$

The spectroscopic and analytical data for the organometallic complexes 1–10 are in good accord with the proposed structures (see Tables 2 and 3 for characterising data). Upon chelation to Ru^{II}, Rh^{III}, Pd^{II} or Pt^{II} there is a small (*ca.* ±4 ppm) change in $\delta(P)$ for the ligated [Ph₂P(E)NP(E)Ph₂]⁻ (E = S or Se) ligand and reduction in ¹J(PSe) of *ca.* 100–150 Hz in comparison with K[Ph₂P(E)NP(E)Ph₂]. The $\delta(P)$ for compounds **8** and **10** obtained *via* the two independent routes described above were identical.

Reaction of the potassium salts VI–IX with [{Pd(μ -Cl)-(η^3 -C₃H₅)}₂] (1:1 ratio) in thf gave new compounds with the apparent stoichiometry [Pd(η^3 -C₃H₅){Ph₂P(E)NP(E')Ph₂}] [E = E' = Se 11 or S 12; E = O, E' = Se 13 or S 14] (Scheme 2). Table 3 Selected NMR (δ , J/Hz) and IR data (cm⁻¹) for compounds V, X and 1–19

	NMR				IR	
Compound	³¹ P	$^{1}J(PSe)$	$^{2}J(\text{PP})$	¹⁹⁵ Pt	v(PS)	v(PSe)
V ^a	57.1		28		645	548
•	52.7	783	20		0.10	0.10
X ^b	37.6	,00	7		596	547
	26.7	682				
1 ^c	28.2^{d}	559	n.r.			545
	27.5	532				
2 ^e	39.4 ^{<i>d</i>}		4		575	
	38.5					
3^f	28.6 ^d	557	n.r.			544
	28.1	532				
4 ^g	39.9 ^{<i>d</i>}		4		574	
	38.8					
5	$25.5(124)^{h}$	536	n.r.	-3965		545
	25.3 (49)	528				
6	36.7 (44)		4	-3894	587	
	$35.6(114)^i$					
7 ^j	29.0	563				541
8 ^k	39.9				573	
9 ¹	24.6	580				541
10 ^{<i>m</i>}	36.2				579	
11 ⁿ	26.2	550				542
12°	37.9				574	
13^{p}	26.7		4			545
	19.1	528				
14^{q}	35.3		4		581	
	27.1					
15 ^r	40.9, 26.8	558	n.r.		589	538
	40.5, 24.3 <i>^s</i>	524	2			
16 ^{<i>t</i>}	41.2, 27.4	555	n.r.		582	536
	41.0, 24.7 <i>^s</i>	524	2			
17 ^{<i>u</i>}	40.2, 23.3	541	4		580	542
18 ^v	38.9, 21.8	568	3		574	540
19 ^w	42.4, 26.1	559	7		570	538

n.r. = Not resolved. ^{*a*}¹H NMR: δ 7.98–7.82, 7.46–7.30 (aromatic H); 4.49 (NH). v(P₂NH) 922 cm⁻¹. ^{*b*}¹H NMR: δ 8.00–7.91, 7.24–7.22 (aromatic H) [recorded in (CD₃)₂SO]. ^{*c*}¹H NMR: δ 8.03–7.95, 7.48–6.94 (aromatic H); 3.85 (CH₂); 2.56 (NMe₂). ^{*d*} No attempts to assign δ (P) were made. ^{*e*}¹H NMR: δ 8.00–7.95, 7.53–6.93 (aromatic H); 3.83 (CH₂); 2.54 (NMe₂). ^{*f*}¹H NMR: δ 8.06–7.20 (aromatic H); 3.15 (NMe₂). ^{*s*}¹H NMR: δ 8.05–7.24 (aromatic H); 3.13 (NMe₂). ^{*k*} Value in parentheses denotes ²*J*(PtP); Se *trans* to olefinic double bond. ¹H NMR: δ 7.98–7.88, 7.45–7.37 (aromatic H) and the expected C₈H₁₂OMe resonances. ^{*i*} Value in parentheses denotes ²*J*(PtP); S *trans* to olefinic double bond. ¹H NMR: δ 7.99–7.88, 7.45–7.37 (aromatic H) and the expected C₈H₁₂OMe resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 8.28–8.19, 7.60–7.01 (aromatic H) and the expected C₁₀H₁₄ resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 8.26–6.818, 7.61–7.02 (aromatic H) and the expected C₁₀H₁₄ resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 8.03–7.87, 7.40–7.24 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 7.99–7.87, 7.40–7.24 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 7.95–7.77, 7.37–7.31 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 7.95–7.77, 7.36–7.29 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 7.95–7.77, 7.36–7.29 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 7.95–7.77, 7.36–7.29 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 7.95–7.77, 7.36–7.29 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 8.05–7.19 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 7.95–7.77, 7.36–7.29 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 8.05–7.19 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 7.95–7.77, 7.36–7.29 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 8.03–7.89, 7.39–7.37 (aromatic H) and η^3 -allyl resonances. ^{*i*} ¹H NMR: δ 8.03–7.89,





The ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectra of **11** and **12** each reveal a single resonance at $\delta(P)$ 26.2 [¹*J*(PSe) 550 Hz] and 37.9 respectively. For **13** and **14** the ³¹P-{¹H} NMR spectra each show two doublets consistent with two inequivalent phosphorus nuclei P_E and P_o. Conformation of an η^3 -allyl group in **11–14** was obtained by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and furthermore, in the case of **12** and **13**, by X-ray crystallography (see below). In compounds **11** and **12** the ligand [Ph₂P(E)NP(E)Ph₂]⁻ behaves as a typical *E*,*E'*-chelate whereas in **13** and **14** the ligand [Ph₂P(O)-NP(E)Ph₂]⁻ bridges two palladium(II) metal centres yielding a binuclear complex with a four-membered Pd₂E₂ core. We recently described the unexpected formation of a tenmembered palladacycle [{Pd(η^3 -C₃H₅)[Ph₂P(O)NPPh₂-*O*,*P*]}₂]

containing a head to tail bridging arrangement of two anionic asymmetrical [Ph₂P(O)NPPh₂]⁻ ligands.⁴¹

Preliminary co-ordination studies with [Ph₂P(S)NP(Se)Ph₂]⁻

Whilst the symmetrical ligands $[R_2P(E)NP(E)R_2]^-$ containing two identical 'soft' donor atoms have received widespread attention, the asymmetrical ligands $[R_2P(O)NP(E)R_2]^-$ bearing a 'soft' and 'hard' donor centre have only attracted interest in the last few years.^{14,18,32} Furthermore we are unaware of any reports of co-ordination complexes with the mixed ligand $[Ph_2P(S)NP(Se)Ph_2]^- X$ bearing two dissimilar 'soft' donor atoms. Reaction of X with the cyclopalladated compounds $[{Pd(\mu-Cl)(L-L)}_2](L-L = C_9H_{12}N \text{ or } C_{12}H_{12}N) \text{ gave } [Pd(L-L) \{Ph_2P(S)NP(Se)Ph_2-S,Se\}$] as a mixture of two isomers in an approximate ratio of 4.6:1 (for 15) and 4.9:1 (for 16) (from integration of the ³¹P signals). The distribution of isomers was unaltered even after allowing CDCl₃ solutions to stand for periods up to 15 d. In contrast reactions performed under similar conditions with $K[Ph_2P(O)NP(E)Ph_2]$ (E = S or Se) gave $[Pd(L-L){Ph_2P(O)NP(E)Ph_2-O,E}]$ as one isomer (O trans to C) only.⁵⁶ The complexes $[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5){Ph_2P(S)NP(Se)Ph_2-C_3H_5)}$ S,Se] 17, [RuCl{Ph₂P(S)NP(Se)Ph₂-S,Se}(η^6 -p-MeC₆H₄Prⁱ)]

 Table 4
 Details of the X-ray data collections and refinements for compounds 1, 6, 12 and 13

	1	6	12	13
Empirical formula	C ₃₃ H ₃₂ N ₂ P ₂ PdSe ₂	C ₃₃ H ₃₅ NOP ₂ PtS ₂	C27H25NP2PdS2	C56H52Cl6N2O2P4Pd2Se2
M	782.90	782.77	595.94	1492.37
Crystal system	Triclinic ^a	Triclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P\bar{1}$	$P\bar{1}$	$P\bar{1}$	I2/a
aĺÅ	11.808(1)	10.647(1)	11.005(1)	19.927(4)
b/Å	12.077(1)	12.491(1)	15.583(1)	10.861(3)
c/Å	11.717(2)	12.647(1)	17.478(1)	28.788(2)
α/°	94.23(1)	98.36(1)	114.31(1)	
β/°	106.36(1)	95.61(1)	93.77(1)	92.99(1)
γ/°	86.44(1)	102.93(1)	98.57(1)	
$U/Å^3$	1597(3)	1607(7)	2674(9)	6222(2)
T/K	293	293	293	293
Ζ	2	2	4	4
μ/mm^{-1}	8.64	4.62	0.99	9.66
Measured reflections	5014	7004	11 590	5079
Independent reflections (R_{int})	4748 (0.112)	$4600 (0.268)^{b}$	7538 (0.017)	4918 (0.361) ^b
Observed reflections $[I > 2.0\sigma(I)]$	4125	3194	5789	2706
Final <i>R</i> , <i>R</i> [′] ^c	0.047, 0.057	0.059, 0.138	0.030, 0.090	0.071, 0.062

^a Standard setting 11.717, 11.808, 12.077 Å; 86.43, 85.77, 73.64°. ^b Poor crystal quality/disorder. ^c Weighting scheme as described in refs. 18 (for compounds 1 and 13) and 47 (for 6 and 12); *R* values are for observed data.

18 and [RhCl(η^{5} -C₅Me₅){Ph₂P(S)NP(Se)Ph₂-*S*,*Se*}] 19 were synthesized under similar conditions to those described above for 7–14. Despite several attempts we were unable to obtain analytically pure samples of 18 and 19 although spectroscopic evidence was in good agreement with the proposed formulations. In particular the ³¹P chemical shifts for P_s and P_{se} in compounds 15–19 were similar to those for 1–10.



Crystal structures of compounds 1, 6, 12 and 13

The crystal structure of compound 1 (Fig. 1 and Table 5) reveals an approximately square planar co-ordination of the Pd^{II} with two bidentate anionic ligands. The ligands are arranged in a slightly distorted square planar geometry [Se(1)-Pd-Se(2) 101.27(3), Se(1)-Pd-C(27) 86.9(2), Se(2)-Pd-N(2) 91.1(2)°]. The Pd-Se bond lengths reflect the nature of the trans ligand [2.4069(9) for Se(1) trans to N(2) and 2.560(1) Å for Se(2) trans to C(27)]. Upon complexation the $PdSe_2P_2N$ ring adopts a pseudo-boat conformation with P(1) and Se(2) at the stern and prow of the boat. The two planar fragments Pd-Se(1)-P(1)-Se(2) [maximum deviation from this mean plane is 0.11 Å for P(1)] and P(1)-N(1)-P(2)-Se(2) [maximum deviation from this mean plane is 0.35 Å for N(1)] are inclined by 58° to each other. Within the six-membered ring there is evidence for π delocalisation as reflected in the P–Se bond lengths [2.184(2) for Se(1), 2.155(2) Å for Se(2)] which are comparable to those distances reported for [Pd{Ph₂PNP(Se)Ph₂-P,Se}{Ph₂-NP(Se)Ph₂-Se,Se'}₂]·CHCl₃ [2.189(3) and 2.178(3) Å] and $[Pd{Ph_2P(Se)NP(Se)Ph_2-Se,Se'}_2]$ [2.194(2) and 2.181(2) Å] 5,23,25 but longer than those observed in II [2.085(1) and 2.101(1) Å].²³ The P(1)-N(1)-P(2) angle in 1 [124.9(4)°] is



Fig. 1 Crystal structure of $[Pd(C_9H_{12}N){Ph_2P(Se)NP(Se)Ph_2-Se,Se'}]$ 1 (hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity)

similar to that in [Pd{Ph₂PNP(Se)Ph₂-*P*,*Se*}{Ph₂P(Se)NP(Se)-Ph₂-*Se*,*Se'*}]·0.5EtOH·0.3CH₂Cl₂ [123.2(6)°], [Pd{Ph₂P(Se)-NP(Se)Ph₂-*Se*,*Se'*}₂]·CHCl₃ [122.9(5)°] and [Pd{Ph₂P(Se)-NP(Se)Ph₂-*Se*,*Se'*}₂] [127.0(3)°] but somewhat contracted with respect to **II** [P–N–P 132.3(2)°]. Bond lengths and angles for the cyclometallated ring in **1** are similar to those reported for [Pd(C₉H₁₂N){Ph₂PNP(O)Ph₂-*P*,*O*}] and [Pd(C₉H₁₂N)(L–L)] [where L–L = Ph₂PCH₂CO₂-*P*,*O*, Ph₂PCH=C(OEt)O-*P*,*O* or $(C_6H_{11})_2$ PCMe₂CH₂COMe-*P*,*O*].^{40,57}

The crystal structure of compound **6** (Fig. 2 and Table 5) shows that the complex is roughly square planar with Pt–S distances of 2.442(2) [S *trans* to σ -bonded C(38)] and 2.309(4) Å, comparable to those observed in [Pt(C₈H₁₂OMe){Ph₂-P(O)NP(S)Ph₂-*O*,*S*}] [Pt–S 2.310(3) Å], [Pt{Ph₂P(S)NP(S)Ph₂-*S*,*S'*}(PEt₃)₂]PF₆ [Pt–S 2.393(3) Å] and [PtCl{Ph₂P(S)-NP(S)Ph₂-*S*,*S'*}(PPh₃)] [Pt–S 2.301(2) (S *trans* to Cl) and 2.372(2) Å].^{18,20,27} Furthermore the [Ph₂P(S)NP(S)Ph₂]⁻ ligand clearly adopts a chelating mode of bonding with the formation of a PtS₂P₂N ring with a pseudo-boat conformation. The two planar fragments Pt–S(1)–P(2)–S(2) [maximum deviation from this mean plane is 0.13 Å for S(2)] and S(1)–P(1)–N(1)–P(2) [maximum deviation from this mean plane is 0.01 Å for N(1)] are inclined to each other by 56°. The P–N bonds are shorter in **6** [1.598(11) and 1.585(11) Å] than in free **I** [1.671(2) and 1.684(2) Å] whilst the P–S bonds are longer [2.026(5) and

Table 5 Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for complexes 1, 6 and 12

	$\frac{1}{(M - Pd - F - S_2)}$	$6 \qquad (M - Pt - F - S)$	12 $(M - Pd - F - S)^*$
	$(\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{e})$	$(\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{Ft}, \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{S})$	$(\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{F} \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{E} = 3)^{T}$
M(1)-E(1)	2.4069(9)	2.442(4)	2.3769(9) [2.3654(9)]
E(1) - P(1)	2.184(2)	2.026(5)	2.0201(12) [2.0216(12)]
P(1)-N(1)	1.598(5)	1.598(11)	1.589(3) [1.595(3)]
N(1)-P(2)	1.589(6)	1.585(11)	1.584(3) [1.595(3)]
P(2)–E(2)	2.155(2)	2.025(6)	2.0185(12) [2.0166(12)]
E(2)-M(1)	2.560(1)	2.309(4)	2.3749(10) [2.3739(11)]
Pd-N(2)	2.120(6)		
Pd-C(27)	2.013(8)		
Pt-C(34)		2.16(2)	
Pt-C(35)		2.163(14)	
Pt-C(38)		2.05(2)	
Pd(1)-C(25)			2.134(4) [2.128(5)]
Pd(1)-C(26)			2.078(5) [2.080(6)]
Pd(1)-C(27)			2.136(4) [2.132(5)]
M(1)-E(1)-P(1)	109.33(6)	101.7(2)	103.66(4) [105.65(4)]
E(1)-P(1)-N(1)	118.5(2)	115.6(4)	117.79(11) [117.78(11)]
P(1)-N(1)-P(2)	124.9(4)	126.7(6)	129.7(2) [128.8(2)]
N(1)-P(2)-E(2)	116.9(2)	117.4(5)	118.76(12) [119.35(11)]
P(2)-E(2)-M(1)	99.84(6)	109.3(2)	105.76(4) [106.17(4)]
E(2)-M(1)-E(1)	101.27(3)	99.66(14)	107.83(3) [106.75(3)]
Se(1)-Pd-C(27)	86.9(2)		
Se(1)-Pd-N(2)	167.2(2)		
Se(2)-Pd-N(2)	91.1(2)		
Se(2)-Pd-C(27)	171.2(2)		
S(1)-Pt-C(34)		89.9(4)	
S(1)-Pt-C(35)		95.3(5)	
S(1)-Pt-C(38)		172.8(5)	
S(2)-Pt-C(38)		84.4(5)	
S(2)-Pt-C(35)		157.8(5)	
S(2)-Pt-C(34)		157.8(5)	
S(1)-Pd(1)-C(25)			92.7(2) [93.2(2)]
S(1)-Pd(1)-C(26)			126.8(2) [126.9(2)]
S(1)-Pd(1)-C(27)			161.0(2) [161.2(2)]
S(2)-Pd(1)-C(25)			159.4(2) [159.9(2)]
S(2)-Pd(1)-C(26)			125.3(2) [126.3(2)]
S(2)-Pd(1)-C(27)			91.1(2) [91.9(2)]
* Value in square brackets are the equivalent pa	arameter in the second molecu	ıle.	



Fig. 2 Crystal structure of $[Pt(C_8H_{12}OMe){Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'}]$ 6 (hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity)

2.025(6) Å] than in the parent disulfide [1.950(1) and 1.936(1) Å] indicating some delocalisation within the metallacycle.⁵⁸ The P(1)–N(1)–P(2) angle in **6** [126.7(6)°] is contracted with respect to the angle observed in **I** [132.62(11)°].

The crystal structure of compound **12** (Fig. 3 and Table 5) reveals two independent molecules and established unequivocally that both are monomeric six-membered PdS_2P_2N chelate ring complexes. The PdS_2P_2N ring adopts a pseudo-boat con-



Fig. 3 Crystal structure of $[Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5){Ph_2P(S)NP(S)Ph_2-S,S'}]$ **12** showing one of the two independent molecules (hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity)

formation in both molecules with shorter P–N [1.589(3) and 1.584(3) (molecule 1); both 1.595(3) Å (molecule 2)] and longer P–S bonds [2.0201(12) and 2.0185(12) (molecule 1); 2.0216(12) and 2.0166(12) Å (molecule 2)] than in free I consistent with some delocalisation within the ring. The two planar fragments Pd(1)–S(1)–P(2)–S(2) [maximum deviation from this mean plane is 0.21 Å for S(2) (molecule 1) and 0.25 Å for S(4)

Table 6 Selected bon	d distances (Å)	and angles (°) for compl	ex 13
Pd(1)-Se(1)	2.477(2)	P(2)-O(2)	1.448(9)
$Pd(1)-Se(1^*)$	2.475(2)	Pd(1)-C(25)	2.01(2)
Se(1)-P(1)	2.225(3)	Pd(1)-C(26)	2.14(1)
P(1) - N(1)	1.539(9)	Pd(1)-C(27)	2.06(2)
N(1)-P(2)	1.613(9)		
Se(1)-Pd(1)-Se(1*)	88.80(5)	Se(1)-Pd(1)-C(25)	99.7(4)
$Pd(1)-Se(1)-Pd(1^*)$	91.20(5)	Se(1)-Pd(1)-C(26)	133.1(6)
Pd(1)-Se(1)-P(1)	101.32(9)	Se(1)-Pd(1)-C(27)	168.6(4)
$Pd(1^*)-Se(1)-P(1)$	102.16(9)	Se(1*)-Pd(1)-C(25)	171.1(4)
Se(1)-P(1)-N(1)	120.1(3)	Se(1*)-Pd(1)-C(26)	136.9(6)
P(1)-N(1)-P(2)	136.8(6)	$Se(1^*)-Pd(1)-C(27)$	100.9(4)
N(1)-P(2)-O(1)	120.3(5)		



Fig. 4 Crystal structure of $[{Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5)[Ph_2P(O)NP(Se)Ph_2-Se]}_2]$ 2CHCl₃ **13** (hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules omitted for clarity). The molecule contains an inversion centre and the starred atoms are generated from the inversion centre

(molecule 2)] and S(1)-P(1)-N(1)-P(2) [maximum deviation from this mean plane is 0.22 Å for N(1) (molecule 1) and 0.24 Å for N(3) (molecule 2)] are inclined to each other by 42 (molecule 1) and 37° (molecule 2) respectively.

In contrast the crystal structure of compound 13 (Fig. 4 and Table 6) reveals that a binuclear complex is formed in which two $Pd(\eta^3-C_3H_5)$ metal fragments are bridged by two [Ph₂P(O)-NP(Se)Ph₂-Se]⁻ ligands forming a four-membered Pd₂Se₂ core. To the best of our knowledge this represents the first example of a crystallographically characterised Pd₂Se₂ ring system. The binuclear compound is disposed about a crystallographic centre of symmetry at the midpoint of the planar Pd₂Se₂ ring. The Pd_2Se_2 ring is strictly planar [Se(1) · · · Se(1*) 3.46, Pd(1) · · · Pd(1*) 3.54 Å]. A comparison of bond lengths and angles within the Se(1)–P(1)–N(1)–P(2)–O(2) backbone is especially noteworthy. The Se(1)-P(1), P(1)-N(1) and N(1)-P(2) bond lengths indicate some degree of delocalisation within the [Ph2P-(O)NP(Se)Ph₂]⁻ ligand and are similar to those reported for *cis*- $[Pd{Ph_2P(O)NP(Se)Ph_2-O,Se_2]$.¹⁸ The P(2)–O(2) bond length [1.448(9) Å] in 13 is shorter than that observed for Ph₂-P(O)NHPPh₂ [1.508(2) Å] which exists in the solid state as a hydrogen-bonded dimer pair.⁵¹ There is a disordered CHCl₃ solvate which displays a close contact with the PO functionality (C···O 3.11, H···O 2.16 Å; C–H···O 163°). Bond lengths for the allyl group in **12** and **13** are similar to those reported for $[{Pd(\mu-Cl)(\eta^3-C_3H_5)}_2]^{.59}$

It is apparent from this work that $[R_2P(E)NP(E)R_2]^-$ is a versatile chelating ligand ideally suited for ligation to a variety of late transition-metal organometallic fragments. Unexpectedly we have also demonstrated an unprecedented bonding mode for the unsymmetrical ligands $[Ph_2P(O)NP(E)Ph_2]^-$ (E = S or Se). Further studies extending the scope of these reactions may be beneficial.

Acknowledgements

We should like to thank the EPSRC for support and Johnson Matthey plc for loan of precious metals.

References

- F. A. Cotton, A. Yokochi, M. J. Siwajek and R. A. Walton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 372; J. Geicke, I.-P. Lorenz, M. Engel and K. Polborn, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1998, **269**, 157; P. Bhattacharyya and J. D. Woollins, *Polyhedron*, 1995, **14**, 3367.
- 2 G. Kräuter, S. K. Sunny and W. S. Rees, jun., *Polyhedron*, 1998, **17**, 391.
- 3 C. Silvestru, R. Rösler, J. E. Drake, J. Yang, G. Espinosa-Pérez and I. Haiduc, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 73.
- 4 V. García-Montalvo, J. Novosad, P. Kilian, J. D. Woollins, A. M. Z. Slawin, P. García y García, M. López-Cardoso, G. Espinosa-Pérez and R. Cea-Olivares, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 1025.
- 5 C. Papadimitriou, P. Veltsistas, J. Novosad, R. Cea-Olivares, A. Toscano, P. García y García, M. López-Cardosa, A. M. Z. Slawin and J. D. Woollins, *Polyhedron*, 1997, **16**, 2727.
- 6 U. Abram, E. Schulz Lang, S. Abram, J. Wegmann, J. R. Dilworth, R. Kirmse and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 623.
- 7 M. Geissinger and J. Magull, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1997, 623, 755.
- 8 E. N.-M. Ho and W.-T. Wong, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 915.
- 9 J. Yang, J. E. Drake, S. Hernandez-Ortega, R. Rösler and C. Silvestru, *Polyhedron*, 1997, **16**, 4061.
- 10 H. Rudler, B. Denise, J. R. Gregorio and J. Vaissermann, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 2299.
- 11 L. Barkaoui, M. Charrouf, M.-N. Rager, B. Denise, N. Platzer and H. Rudler, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 1997, **134**, 167 and refs. therein.
- 12 V. García-Montalvo, R. Cea-Olivares, D. J. Williams and G. Espinosa-Pérez, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, 35, 3948.
- 13 V. García-Montalvo, R. Cea-Olivares and G. Espinosa-Pérez, Polyhedron, 1996, 15, 829.
- 14 R. Rösler, J. E. Drake, C. Silvestru, J. Yang and I. Haiduc, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 391.
- 15 R. Cea-Olivares, V. García-Montalvo, J. Novosad, J. D. Woollins, R. A. Toscano and G. Espinosa-Pérez, *Chem. Ber.*, 1996, **129**, 919.
- 16 J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 2893.
- 17 D. Cupertino, R. Keyte, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. J. Williams and J. D. Woollins, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 2695.
- 18 A. M. Z. Slawin, M. B. Smith and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 3659.
- 19 C. S. Browning, D. H. Farrar and D. C. Frankel, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1996, **241**, 111.
- 20 D. J. Williams, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. R. Phillips and J. D. Woollins, *Polyhedron*, 1996, **15**, 3175.
- 21 R. Cea-Olivares, J. Novosad, J. D. Woollins, A. M. Z. Slawin, V. García-Montalvo, G. Espinosa-Pérez and P. García y García, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 519.
- 22 R. Rösler, C. Silvestru, G. Espinosa-Pérez, I. Haiduc and R. Cea-Olivares, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1996, **241**, 47.
- 23 P. Bhattacharyya, J. Novosad, J. Phillips, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. J. Williams and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 1607.
- 24 C. Silvestru, R. Rösler, I. Haiduc, R. Cea-Olivares and G. Espinosa-Pérez, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, 34, 3352.
- 25 P. Bhattacharyya, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. J. Williams and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 2489.
- 26 N. Züñiga-Villarreal, C. Silvestru, M. Reyes Lezama, S. Hernández-Ortega and C. Alvarez Toledano, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 496, 169.

- 27 J. R. Phillips, A. M. Z. Slawin, A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 2467.
- 28 H. Bock, H. Schödel, Z. Havlas and E. Herrmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 1355.
- 29 I. Haiduc, R. Cea-Olivares, R. A. Toscano and C. Silvestru, *Polyhedron*, 1995, 14, 1067.
- 30 A. M. Z. Slawin, J. Ward, D. J. Williams and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 421.
- 31 R. Rossi, A. Marchi, L. Marvelli, L. Magon, M. Peruzzini, U. Casellato and R. Graziani, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 1993, 723.
- 32 I. Haiduc, C. Silvestru, H. W. Roesky, H.-G. Schmidt and M. Noltemeyer, *Polyhedron*, 1993, **12**, 69.
- 33 O. Navrátil, E. Herrmann, G. Grossmann and J. Teply, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun., 1990, 55, 364.
- 34 P. Z. Zak, M. Fofana, J. Kamenicek and T. Glowiak, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1989, 45, 1686.
- 35 A. Laguna, M. Laguna, A. Rojo and M. N. Fraile, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, 315, 269.
- 36 H. W. Chen, C. Paparizos and J. P. Fackler, jun., *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1985, 96, 137.
- 37 H. Richter, E. Fluck and W. Schwarz, Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1980, 35, 578.
- 38 S. Kawaguchi, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1986, 70, 51.
- 39 W. Lin, S. R. Wilson and G. S. Girolami, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, 36, 2662.
- 40 A. M. Z. Slawin, M. B. Smith and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 1283.
- 41 M. B. Smith, A. M. Z. Slawin and J. D. Woollins, *Polyhedron*, 1996, 15, 1579.
- 42 A. M. Z. Slawin, M. B. Smith and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 4567, 4575.

- 43 I. Haiduc, in *Inorganic Experiments*, ed. J. D. Woollins, VCH, Weinheim, 1994.
- 44 A. C. Cope and E. C. Friedrich, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 909.
- 45 A. B. Goel, S. Goel and D. G. Vanderveer, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1981, 54, L169.
- 46 C. White, A. Yates and P. M. Maitlis, Inorg. Synth., 1992, 29, 228.
- 47 Siemens SHELXTL, Revision 5.03, Siemens Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 1995.
 48 SAINT (Siemens Area Detector INTegration) program, Siemens
- Analytical X-ray, Madison, WI, 1995.
- 49 N. Walker and D. Stuart, DIFABS, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A, 1983, 39, 158.
- 50 TEXSAN, Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Molecular Structure Corporation, The Woodlands, TX, 1985 and 1992.
- 51 P. Bhattacharyya, A. M. Z. Slawin, M. B. Smith and J. D. Woollins, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 3675.
- 52 S. O. Grim and E. D. Walton, Inorg. Chem., 1980, 19, 1982.
- 53 P. Bhattacharyya, A. M. Z. Slawin, D. J. Williams and J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 3189.
- 54 J. Browning, K. R. Dixon and R. W. Hilts, Organometallics, 1989, 8, 552.
- 55 M. Valderrama, R. Contreras, V. Arancibia and P. Muñoz, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1997, 255, 221 and refs. therein.
- 56 A. M. Z. Slawin, M. B. Smith and J. D. Woollins, *Polyhedron*, 1998, in the press.
- 57 P. Braunstein, D. Matt, D. Nobel, S.-E. Bouaoud and D. Grandjean, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, **301**, 401 and refs. therein.
- 58 H. Nöth, Z. Naturforsch., Teil. B, 1982, 37, 1491.
- 59 A. E. Smith, Acta Crystallogr., 1965, 18, 331.

Received 8th April 1998; Paper 8/02676I